

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,451	12/31/2003	Michael D. Grah	D-43584-01	9833
759	90 06/30/2005		EXAMINER	
Sealed Air Corporation P.O. Box 464			PATTERSON, MARC A	
Duncan, SC 29	9334		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1772	
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	an	$\mathcal{U}$	$\mathcal{W}$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/749,451	GRAH, MICHAEL D.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marc A Patterson	1772	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N). R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r . reply within the statutory minimum of thin riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _			
· - ·	This action is non-final.	·	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	·	· •	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•	
8) Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers	·		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con	rection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received. ents have been received in A	pplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bur	* **		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/</li> </ul>		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/749,451 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-38, drawn to a method of shrinking a film, classified in class 264, subclass 177.2.
- II. Claims 39 56 and 58 59, drawn to a film, classified in class 428, subclass34.9.
- III. Claim 57, drawn to a method of packaging, classified in class 53, subclass 100.
- 2. Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used in a materially different method of using, such as shrinking using heated air.
- 3. Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used in a materially different method of using, such as protecting the surface of an object without packaging.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,451

Art Unit: 1772

4. Inventions III and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the method of packaging need not comprise heating by radiation. The subcombination has separate utility such as protecting the surface of an object using a shrink film without packaging.

Page 3

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. A telephone call was made to Mr. Daniel Ruble on June 1, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc A Patterson whose telephone number is 571-272-1497.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,451

Art Unit: 1772

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mare Petterson 6/27/65

Page 4

Marc A. Patterson, PhD.

Examiner

Art Unit 1772